the necessary certificates by post on requisitions from the Secretaries of the societies.

Reply of Government.—Not possible.

SUBJECT No. 31.

Increase of pay to *Totis* for police duties.

Mr. C. Channakesaviah, Chitaldrug Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The present pay, *viz.*, Rs. 2, paid to *Totis* for watching the roads is low. Consequently, they are not discharging their duties satisfactorily. The pay of these men may be raised to Rs. 5 and good work taken from them or their services dispensed with.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 32.

Execution of Civil Court decrees.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Shimoga Taluk.

Withdrawn.

SUBJECT No. 33.

Payment of interest on decree amount.

Mr. M. Subba Rao, Hole-Narsipur Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—At present, interest at 6 per cent is generally allowed from the date of suit, in suits on mortgage bonds. Interest at the rates stipulated in the mortgage bonds may be allowed, as is done in British Indian Courts.

Reply of Government.—Aggrieved parties may prefer appeals.

SUBJECT No. 34.

Filing of judicial records.

Mr. Sura Sastri, Chikballapur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The records pertaining to suits disposed of in Civil Courts may be ordered to be retained in the respective Courts for a period of one year after disposal.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 35.

Stamping the copies granted in Criminal Courts.

Mr. C. Channakesaviah, Chitaldrug Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Copies of Civil Court orders, whether written on stamped paper or not, are certified as true copies and bear the seal of the Court, the necessary stamps being affixed by the party at the time of filing them in Courts. This practice may be brought into force in Criminal Courts also.

Reply of Government.—Will be enquired into.

SUBJECT No. 36.

Preservation of judgments in Criminal cases.

Mr. Ramaswamy Sastri, Yedatore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Judgments in Criminal cases, except those in theft cases, are now destroyed before the disposal of the several matters contained therein. These may be preserved for thirty years at least.

Reply of Government.—Will be referred to the Chief Court.

SUBJECT No. 37.

Pleaders in Criminal and Revenue Courts.

Messrs. (1) Thimmappiah, Manjarabad Taluk;
and (2) M. D. Narasimhiengar, Sri Yadugiri Sadananda Vardhini Sabha, Melkote.

Summary of discussion.—(1) Clients feel it a great hardship to engage the services of Pleaders in moffusil Taluk Magistrates' Courts. To minimise this hardship persons who have passed the Criminal Higher Examination may be allowed to practise in Taluk Magistrates' Courts as Pleaders.

(2) Persons who have passed the Revenue Higher Examination may be allowed to practise as Revenue Pleaders in Taluk and District Offices.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 38.

Formation of Village Munsiffs' Courts.

Mr. Hutchaveeregowda, Gubbi Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Village Munsiffs' Courts may be established in all the hobli headquarters and educated and influential persons holding some hereditary appointments chosen as Munsiffs.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 39.

Filing of lists of moveable property and Khate extracts in Civil Courts to be dispensed with.

Mr. B. Kesavaiya, Chikmagalur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The practice of producing lists of moveable property and *Khate* extracts for the attachment of moveable and immoveable property in the Civil Courts may be discontinued.

Reply of Government.—Will be enquired into.

SUBJECT No. 40.

Reduction of commission to Sub-Registrars attending private residences at headquarters.

Mr. Kere Krishnappa, Gudibanda Sub-Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The rate of commission to Sub-Registrars for attending private residences to register documents may be reduced from Rs. 10 to Rs. 5 in cases of such attendance at headquarters.

Reply of Government.—Not possible.

SUBJECT No. 41.

Refund of Court fee stamps in execution cases where *mesne* profits are claimed.

Mr. M. Subba Rao, Hole-Narsipur Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Half the institution fee is refunded in Original cases when the defendant does not contest the suit. A similar concession may be extended to execution cases where the plaintiff produces stamps for the recovery of *mesne* profits.

Reply of Government.—Will be enquired into.

SUBJECT No. 42.

Extension of time allowed for filing an application to set aside a Civil Court sale.

Mr M. Subba Rao, Hole-Narsipur Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The time allowed to a respondent for filing an application to set aside a Civil Court sale in execution of a decree is only 30 days. This period is quite insufficient and causes much hardship in cases of fraud. The period allowed for filing such applications may be extended to three years.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

REVENUE.

(Nos. 43—82.)

SUBJECT No. 43.

Village Improvement works.

Messrs. Giriyappa, Honnali Taluk, Chikkasubba Rao, Hunsur Taluk, Krishnamoorthiachar, Mulbagal Taluk, Sivappa, Tarikere Taluk, and C. Vasudeva Rao, Chikmagalur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Some sort of compulsion is absolutely necessary to make the villagers work for half a day a week free. The poor readily come forward but the rich usually make default. The example of the recalcitrant people has got a very demoralizing effect. Village Committees may be authorized to levy at their discretion a cess varying from six pies to two annas from absentees.